| 1 | JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) | | |
| 3 | Chief, Criminal Division | | |
| 4 | GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330) | | |
| 5 | Assistant United States Attorney | | |
| 6 | 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S Oakland, California 94612-5217 | | |
| 7 | Telephone: (510) 637-3929 Facsimile: (510) 637-3724 | | |
| 8 | E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | |
| | | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | OAKLAND DIVISION | | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) | No. CR 09-00775 SBA | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE | |
| 15 | v.) | AND EXCLUDING TIME | |
| 16 | JUAN OCTAVIANO LOPEZ, | | |
| 17 | aka Juan Octavio Lopez Ortiz,) aka Juan Lopez Gomez,) | | |
| 18 | aka Manuel Vargas, and) GLENDY GOMEZ,) | | |
| 19 | aka Glendy Elizabeth Gomez,) | | |
| 20 | Defendants. | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendants, by and through their | | |
| 23 | counsel of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows: | | |
| 24 | 1. A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 11 a.m. on Tuesday, | | |
| 25 | April 20, 2010. | | |
| 26 | 2. The parties request that this hearing be continued until 9 a.m. on Tuesday, April | | |
| 27 | 20, 2010, in order to provide defendants' counsel with additional time to evaluate the evidence in | | |
| | this case and determine whether or not defendant should enter a change of plea or file motions | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME | | |
| | | | |

and to prepare for trial in this matter.

- 3. Specifically, defendants' counsel needs the continuance in order to review discovery with defendants, investigate the case, and develop a motions and/or trial strategy in light of the discovery. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendants' counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from March 23, 2010, to April 20, 2010, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

| ±5 | , , | 8 |
|----|-----------------------|---|
| 16 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | |
| 17 | | JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney |
| 18 | | Office States Attorney |
| 19 | Dated: March 19, 2010 | /s/ GARTH HIRE |
| 20 | | Assistant United States Attorney |
| 21 | | Attorney for United States of America |
| 22 | Dated: March 19, 2010 | /s/ |
| 23 | Buted: Maren 19, 2010 | GILBERT EISENBERG |
| 24 | | Attorney for Defendant Juan Octaviano Lopez |
| 25 | | vuan ovan nano Bopoz |
| 26 | Dated: March 19, 2010 | RANDALL KNOX |
| 27 | | Attorney for Defendant |
| 28 | | Glendy Gomez |

ORDER

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The currently scheduled March 23, 2010, status conference hearing is vacated. A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on April 20, 2010.
- 2. The time period from March 23, 2010, to April 20, 2010, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

DATED: 3/29/10

HONORARI E SALINDRA DR

HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING

HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME